



## Searching LexisNexis Academic

1. Click US Legal to find:
  - a. Statutes
  - b. Law Reviews
2. Search for a case by
  - a. Citation,
  - b. Parties , or
  - c. Topic

The screenshot shows the LexisNexis Academic search interface. A sidebar on the left contains a navigation menu with categories: General Searching, News, US Legal, International Legal, Companies, Subject Areas, Sources, Help & Instructions, and Beta Tools. The 'US Legal' category is highlighted. The main content area is titled 'Easy Search™' and includes a 'Help Clear' link. Below the title is a disclaimer: 'Use of this service is subject to Terms and Conditions'. The interface is divided into several search panels:

- Search the News:** Includes a search box, a 'By Source Type' dropdown menu (set to 'Major World Publications'), and a 'By Source Title' field. An annotation '1' points to the 'US Legal' menu item, and '1a' points to the search box, while '1b' points to the 'By Source Type' dropdown.
- Look up a Legal Case:** Offers search options: 'By Citation' (example: 163 U.S. 537), 'Or by Parties' (example: Mapp v. Ohio), and 'Or by Topic' (example: Equal Opportunity). An annotation '2' points to the 'Look up a Legal Case' panel, '2a' points to the 'By Citation' search box, '2b' points to the 'Or by Parties' search box, and '2c' points to the 'Or by Topic' search box.
- Get Company Info:** Offers search options: 'By Name' (example: Microsoft) and 'Or by Ticker' (example: MSFT). An annotation '2a' points to the 'By Name' search box.
- Research Countries:** Includes a 'Country' dropdown menu (set to 'Afghanistan') and a 'Source' dropdown menu (set to 'Business Monitor Internatio').
- Research People:** Includes fields for 'Last Name (Required)' and 'First Name (Optional)'.
- Combined Search:** Includes a 'Search For:' field and a 'Date:' dropdown menu (set to 'Previous 2 years').

## Reducing Retrieval in LexisNexis Academic

1. Select a specific court
2. Sort by Relevance
3. Search within results for a related term

The screenshot shows the LexisNexis Academic search results interface. The page title is "LexisNexis Academic" and the search results are for "custody". The results are sorted by "Relevance" and show 1-25 of 1895 results. The left sidebar shows "Result Groups" and "Sources by Category" with a list of courts. The main results area lists five cases, including Johnson v. United States, United States v. Hayes, Giles v. California, Georgia v. Randolph, and Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales.


Annotation 1 points to the "Sources by Category" section in the left sidebar, specifically to the "Cases (1895)" sub-section.

Annotation 2 points to the "Sort" dropdown menu, which is currently set to "Relevance".

Annotation 3 points to the "Search within results" input field, which contains the text "custody".

## How to Shepardize a Case in LexisNexis Academic

1. Search for a case.
2. Click the title of the case you want.
3. Click **Shepardize** to find other cases that cite your case.



LexisNexis® Academic Results List | Edit Search | New Search | Home

Show Full with Indexing ▾ Search within results  Go

2 of 8

3 → Shepardize®

509 U.S. 579, \*113 S. Ct. 2786, \*\*125...

[View Available Briefs and Other Documents Related to this Case](#)

WILLIAM DAUBERT, ET UX., ETC., ET AL., PETITIONERS V. MERRELL DOW PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

No. 92-102

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

509 U.S. 579; 113 S. Ct. 2786; 125 L. Ed. 2d 469; 1993 U.S. LEXIS 4408; 61 U.S.L.W. 4805; 27 U.S.P.Q.2D (BNA) 1200; CCH Prod. Liab. Rep. P13,494; 93 Cal. Daily Op. Service 4825; 93 Daily Journal DAR 8148; 23 ELR 20979; 7 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 632

March 30, 1993, Argued  
June 28, 1993, Decided

**PRIOR HISTORY:** ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

**DISPOSITION:** 951 F.2d 1128, vacated and remanded.

[Case in Brief](#)  
Time-saving, comprehensive research tool. Includes expanded summary, extensive research and analysis, and links to LexisNexis® content and available court documents.

[Emerging Issues Analysis](#)  
**James M. Wilson Jr. on the Rise of The Use of Regression Analysis in Class Action Litigation**  
Having successfully endured scrutiny by the courts under the Supreme Court's decision in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579 (1993) to determine the admissibility of the technique under Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, regression analysis has become a critical component in the prosecution, and defense, of complex commercial litigation. James M. Wilson Jr's commentary examines how this technique is employed to offer evidence of impact and damages in many different types of lawsuits, including class action securities and antitrust cases.